UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CENTRAL DIVISION

Fort Des Moines Church of Christ, a nonprofit religious corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 4:16-cv-00403-SMR-CFB

Angela Jackson, Patricia Lipski, Mathew Hosford, Tom Conley, Douglas Oelschlaeger, Lily Lijun Hou, and Lawrence Cunningham, each in his or her official capacity as Commissioners of the Iowa Civil Rights Commission; Kristin H. Johnson, in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Iowa Civil Rights Commission; Tom Miller, in his capacity as the Attorney General of the state of Iowa; and the City of Des Moines, Iowa,

NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1) AND LOCAL RULE 41(a)(1)

Defendants.

Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1) and Local Rule 41(a)(1), Plaintiff Fort Des Moines Church of Christ hereby gives notice that this action is voluntarily dismissed, without prejudice.

- 1. On July 4, 2016, the Plaintiff filed a Verified Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Dkt. No. 1.
- 2. Defendants have not answered the complaint nor filed a motion for summary judgment.

Case 4:16-cv-00403-SMR-CFB Document 38 Filed 10/26/16 Page 2 of 4

FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and Local Rule 41(a)(1) both provide that if the

opposing party has not yet served either an answer to the complaint or a motion for summary

judgment, the plaintiff may voluntarily dismiss its case without a court order by filing a notice of

dismissal.

3.

4. The Court's October 14, 2016 Order, Dkt. No. 37, established that Plaintiff Fort

Des Moines Church of Christ's services, activities, and events to which it invites the public, as

described in its Verified Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, are exempt from

Iowa's public accommodations law. See Iowa Code § 216.7. The District Court stated in

pertinent part: "Plaintiff is dissimilar to other entities that have been found to be subject to the

statute, ... state and federal courts have held that churches and programs they host are *not* places

of public accommodation", and "[o]n the current facts, Plaintiff has not shown that the

challenged laws would ever apply to its conduct." See Dkt. No. 37, p. 37 and p. 39.

5. Furthermore, the Court ruled that pursuant to Iowa Code § 216.2(13) "receiv[ing]

[a] government support or subsidy ... is a prerequisite to being a public accommodation based on

an offer of gratuitous services to the public." See Order, Dkt. No. 37, p.15 n.4. Because Plaintiff

offers its services to the public gratuitously and does not receive government support or subsidy,

it is not subject to the public accommodations law.

6. Plaintiff hereby gives notice of voluntary dismissal.

7. Therefore, this action should be dismissed without prejudice.

DATED: This 26th day of October, 2016.

2

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Steven O'Ban
Steven O'Ban*
Erik Stanley*
Jeremy Tedesco*
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
15100 N 90th Street
Scottsdale, AZ 85260
Tel.: 480-444-0020

Fax: 480-444-0028 soban@ADFlegal.org

Christiana Holcomb*
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
440 First Street NW, Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20001
Tel.: 202-393-8690
Not licensed in DC
Practice limited to federal court

Timm Reid 300 Walnut Street, Suite 5 Des Moines, IA 50309 Tel.: 800-217-9312 TReid@galliganlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

^{*}Admitted pro hac vice

Case 4:16-cv-00403-SMR-CFB Document 38 Filed 10/26/16 Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of Court

by using the CM/ECF system.

All participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the

CM/ECF system.

Date: October 26, 2016

/s/ Steven O'Ban

Steven O'Ban

4